

Opinion filed May 9, 2019



In The

Eleventh Court of Appeals

No. 11-18-00219-CR

DOMONIQUE XAVIER WILLIAMS, Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 29th District Court
Palo Pinto County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 15867

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The jury convicted Appellant, Domonique Xavier Williams, of the offense of tampering with physical evidence. Appellant pleaded true to an enhancement allegation, and the jury assessed his punishment at confinement for twenty years and a fine of \$10,000. We affirm.

Appellant's court-appointed counsel has filed in this court a motion to withdraw. The motion is supported by a brief in which counsel professionally and conscientiously examines the record and applicable law and concludes that there are no arguable issues to present on appeal. Counsel provided Appellant with a copy of the brief, a copy of the motion to withdraw, and a copy of both the clerk's record and the reporter's record. Counsel advised Appellant of his right to review the record

and file a response to counsel's brief. Counsel also advised Appellant of his right to file a petition for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68. Court-appointed counsel has complied with the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967); *Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014); *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008); and *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991).

Appellant subsequently filed a response and two supplemental responses to counsel's *Anders* brief. We have reviewed Appellant's responses. In addressing an *Anders* brief and a pro se response, a court of appeals may only determine (1) that the appeal is wholly frivolous and issue an opinion explaining that it has reviewed the record and finds no reversible error or (2) that arguable grounds for appeal exist and remand the cause to the trial court so that new counsel may be appointed to brief the issues. *Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 409; *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). Following the procedures outlined in *Anders* and *Schulman*, we have independently reviewed the record, and we agree with counsel that no arguable grounds for appeal exist.¹

We grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and we affirm the judgment of the trial court.

May 9, 2019

PER CURIAM

Do not publish. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).

Panel consists of: Bailey, C.J.,
Stretcher, J., and Wright, S.C.J.²

Willson, J., not participating.

¹We note that Appellant has a right to file a petition for discretionary review pursuant to TEX. R. APP. P. 68.

²Jim R. Wright, Senior Chief Justice (Retired), Court of Appeals, 11th District of Texas at Eastland, sitting by assignment.