

**AFFIRM; and Opinion Filed September 11, 2014.**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-13-00912-CR**

---

**DARIN RAMONE THOMAS, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the Criminal District Court No. 1  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. F12-58074-H**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices O'Neill, Lang-Miers, and Brown  
Opinion by Justice O'Neill

Darin Ramone Thomas waived a jury and pleaded guilty to aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 29.03(a)(2) (West 2011). The trial court assessed punishment at fourteen years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a

copy of the brief to appellant. *See Kelly v. State*, 2014 WL 2865901 (Tex. Crim. App. June 25, 2014) (identifying duties of appellate courts and counsel in *Anders* cases).

Appellant filed a pro se response raising several issues. After reviewing counsel's brief, appellant's pro se response, and the record, we agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/Michael J. O'Neill/  
MICHAEL J. O'NEILL  
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish  
TEX. R. APP. P. 47

130912F.U05



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

DARIN RAMONE THOMAS, Appellant

No. 05-13-00912-CR      V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Appeal from the Criminal District Court  
No. 1 of Dallas County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No.  
F12-58074-H).

Opinion delivered by Justice O’Neill,  
Justices Lang-Miers and Brown  
participating.

Based on the Court’s opinion of this date, the trial court’s judgment is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered September 11, 2014.