

**AFFIRMED; Opinion Filed September 11, 2014.**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-13-01431-CR**

---

**ERKENIS DESEAN WHITE, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 283rd Judicial District Court  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. F12-62525-T**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Bridges, Lang, and Evans  
Opinion by Justice Lang

A jury convicted Erkenis Desean White of aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 29.03(a)(2) (West 2011). The trial court assessed punishment at thirty-five years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro

se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 2014 WL 2865901 (Tex. Crim. App. June 25, 2014) (identifying duties of appellate courts and counsel in *Anders* cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/ Douglas S. Lang /  
DOUGLAS S. LANG  
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish  
TEX. R. APP. P. 47  
131431F.U05



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

ERKENIS DESEAN WHITE, Appellant

No. 05-13-01431-CR      V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Appeal from the 283rd Judicial District  
Court of Dallas County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No.  
F12-62525-T).

Opinion delivered by Justice Lang, Justices  
Bridges and Evans participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the trial court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered September 11, 2014.