

**DENY; and Opinion Filed September 16, 2014.**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-14-01157-CV**

**No. 05-14-01158-CV**

**No. 05-14-01159-CV**

**No. 05-14-01160-CV**

**No. 05-14-01161-CV**

---

**IN RE C.T. AND T.T., Relator**

---

**Original Proceeding from the 302nd Judicial District Court  
Dallas County, Texas**

**Trial Court Cause No. 13-21229-U**

**Trial Court Cause No. 10-12513-2**

**Trial Court Cause No. 13-21227-2**

**Trial Court Cause No. 01-11212-U**

**Trial Court Cause No. 12-275-U**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

**Before Justices O'Neill, Lang, and Brown**

**Opinion by Justice O'Neill**

Relators filed this petition for writ of mandamus complaining of numerous actions of the trial court. The facts and issues are well-known to the parties so we do not recount them here. Ordinarily, to obtain mandamus relief, a relator must show both that the trial court has clearly abused its discretion and that relator has no adequate appellate remedy. *In re Prudential Ins. Co.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding). Based on the record before us, we conclude relators have not shown they are entitled to the relief requested. *See* TEX. R. APP. P.

52.8(a); *Walker v. Packer*, 827 S.W.2d 833, 839–40 (Tex. 1992) (orig. proceeding).

Accordingly, we **DENY** relators' petition for writ of mandamus.

/Michael J. O'Neill/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHAEL J. O'NEILL  
JUSTICE

141157F.P05