

In The Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-15-00227-CR

CASME ALFONSO VELAZQUEZ, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the Criminal District Court No. 3 Dallas County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. F14-56517-J

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Chief Justice Wright and Justices Myers and Brown Opinion by Chief Justice Wright

Casme Alfonso Velazquez waived a jury and pleaded guilty to aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon. *See* Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 29.03(a) (West 2011). The trial court assessed punishment at five years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro

se response. See Kelly v. State, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (identifying

duties of appellate courts and counsel in Anders cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. See Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824,

826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in Anders cases). We agree

the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably

support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/Carolyn Wright/

CAROLYN WRIGHT CHIEF JUSTICE

Do Not Publish TEX. R. APP. P. 47 150227F.U05



Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

JUDGMENT

CASME ALFONSO VELAZQUEZ, Appellant

No. 05-15-00227-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Appeal from the Criminal District Court No. 3 of Dallas County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. F14-56517-J).

Opinion delivered by Chief Justice Wright, Justices Myers and Brown participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the trial court's judgment is AFFIRMED.

Judgment entered March 4, 2016.