

AFFIRMED and Opinion Filed June 1, 2016.



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-15-01230-CR

**ANGEL DAVID ORTIZ, Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

**On Appeal from the Criminal District Court No. 2
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. F-1141183-I**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Lang-Miers, Evans, and Brown
Opinion by Justice Evans

Angel David Ortiz appeals his conviction, following the adjudication of his guilt, for aggravated assault with a deadly weapon. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 22.02(a) (West 2011). The trial court assessed punishment at ten years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (identifying duties of appellate courts and counsel in *Anders* cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in Anders cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/David Evans/

DAVID EVANS
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish
TEX. R. APP. P. 47
151230F.U05



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

ANGEL DAVID ORTIZ, Appellant

No. 05-15-01230-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the Criminal District Court
No. 2, Dallas County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. F-1141183-I

Opinion delivered by Justice Evans, Justices
Lang-Miers and Brown participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 1st day of June, 2016.