

## In The Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Pallas

No. 05-15-01356-CR

# JAMES MICHAEL WORSHAM, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 195th Judicial District Court Dallas County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. F15-40674-N

#### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Lang-Miers, Evans, and Brown Opinion by Justice Evans

James Michael Worsham appeals his conviction, following adjudication of his guilt, for possession of methamphetamine in an amount of one gram or more but less than four grams. *See* Tex. Health & Safety Code Ann. § 481.115(a), (c) (West 2011). The trial court sentenced appellant to three years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro

se response. See Kelly v. State, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319-21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (identifying

duties of appellate courts and counsel in Anders cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. See Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824,

826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in Anders cases). We agree

the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably

support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/David Evans/ DAVID EVANS JUSTICE

Do Not Publish TEX. R. APP. P. 47 151356F.U05



## Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

### **JUDGMENT**

JAMES MICHAEL WORSHAM, Appellant

No. 05-15-01356-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 195th Judicial District

Court, Dallas County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. F15-40674-N.

Opinion delivered by Justice Evans. Justices

Lang-Miers and Brown participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 29th day of July, 2016.