

Affirmed and Opinion Filed July 29, 2016



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-16-00104-CR

**DARTEGNEIL EDWARD, Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

**On Appeal from the 265th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. F15-56406-R**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Francis, Fillmore, and Schenck
Opinion by Justice Francis

A jury convicted Dartegneil Edward for evading arrest or detention with a previous conviction for evading arrest or detention. The trial court sentenced appellant, enhanced by two prior felony convictions, to five years in prison. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (identifying duties of appellate courts and counsel in *Anders* cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/Molly Francis/

MOLLY FRANCIS
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish
TEX. R. APP. P. 47
160104F.U05



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

DARTAGNEIL EDWARD, Appellant

No. 05-16-00104-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 265th Judicial District
Court, Dallas County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. F15-56406-R.

Opinion delivered by Justice Francis.

Justices Fillmore and Schenck participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered July 29, 2016.