

In The Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Pallas

No. 05-16-00871-CV No. 05-16-00872-CV

IN RE PATRICK BERNARD INGRAM, Relator

Original Proceeding from the 194th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. X-14-743-M and X-14-744-M

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Francis, Evans, and Stoddart Opinion by Justice Stoddart

In this petition for writ of mandamus, relator complains that the trial court has not ruled on certain motions purportedly filed in relation to enforcement of an expunction order. Relator asks this Court to order the trial court to rule on the motions. Relator's petition is not certified as required by rule 52.3(j) of the rules of appellate procedure and does not include an appendix containing the necessary contents set out in rule 52.3(k)(1). Although these deficiencies alone constitute sufficient reasons to deny mandamus relief, in the interest of judicial economy we address the petition.

Relator's petition does not include a record showing that he is entitled to mandamus relief. *See In re Blakeney*, 254 S.W.3d 659, 661 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 2008, orig. proceeding) (noting trial courts are entitled to a reasonable time in which to rule and determining six-month delay was not unreasonable); *see also In re Harris*, No. 14–07–231–CV, 2007 WL 1412105, at *1 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] May 15, 2007, orig. proceeding) (holding relator not

entitled to mandamus relief when record did not show relator alerted trial court of motion by setting for submission or hearing).

We deny the petition for writ of mandamus.

/Craig Stoddart/
CRAIG STODDART JUSTICE

160871F.P05