

Denied and Opinion Filed July 28, 2016



In The  
**Court of Appeals**  
**Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-16-00871-CV

No. 05-16-00872-CV

IN RE PATRICK BERNARD INGRAM, Relator

---

Original Proceeding from the 194th Judicial District Court  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause Nos. X-14-743-M and X-14-744-M

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Francis, Evans, and Stoddart  
Opinion by Justice Stoddart

In this petition for writ of mandamus, relator complains that the trial court has not ruled on certain motions purportedly filed in relation to enforcement of an expunction order. Relator asks this Court to order the trial court to rule on the motions. Relator's petition is not certified as required by rule 52.3(j) of the rules of appellate procedure and does not include an appendix containing the necessary contents set out in rule 52.3(k)(1). Although these deficiencies alone constitute sufficient reasons to deny mandamus relief, in the interest of judicial economy we address the petition.

Relator's petition does not include a record showing that he is entitled to mandamus relief. *See In re Blakeney*, 254 S.W.3d 659, 661 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 2008, orig. proceeding) (noting trial courts are entitled to a reasonable time in which to rule and determining six-month delay was not unreasonable); *see also In re Harris*, No. 14-07-231-CV, 2007 WL 1412105, at \*1 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] May 15, 2007, orig. proceeding) (holding relator not

entitled to mandamus relief when record did not show relator alerted trial court of motion by setting for submission or hearing).

We deny the petition for writ of mandamus.

/Craig Stoddart/  
CRAIG STODDART  
JUSTICE

160871F.P05