

Affirmed and Opinion Filed October 2, 2017



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-16-01197-CR

DANNY MARTINEZ, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 204th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. F16-00594-Q**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Bridges, Fillmore, and Stoddart
Opinion by Justice Bridges

A jury convicted Danny Martinez for aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon and assessed punishment at seven years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (noting appellant has right to file pro se response to *Anders* brief filed by counsel).

Appellant filed a pro se response raising several issues. After reviewing counsel's brief, appellant's pro se response, and the record, we agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit.

See Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court’s duty in *Anders* cases). We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court’s judgment.

/David L. Bridges/

DAVID L. BRIDGES
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish
TEX. R. APP. P. 47
161197F.U05



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

DANNY MARTINEZ, Appellant

No. 05-16-01197-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 204th Judicial District
Court, Dallas County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. F16-00594-Q.

Opinion delivered by Justice Bridges.

Justices Fillmore and Stoddart participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered October 2, 2017.