DISMISS; and Opinion Filed April 27, 2017.



In The Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-17-00219-CR

JEFFREY DANO, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 4 Collin County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 004-84693-2016

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Fillmore, Whitehill, and Boatright Opinion by Justice Fillmore

Jeffrey Dano was charged with possession of two ounces or less of marijuana. TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ANN. § 481.121(a), (b)(1) (West 2010). On January 31, 2017, after the jury found Dano guilty, the trial court assessed punishment at thirty days' confinement in county jail, probated for twelve months, and a \$200 fine. Dano filed a motion for new trial on February 20, 2017. TEX. R. APP. P. 21.4(a) (motion for new trial due within 30 days of date sentence imposed or suspended in open court). The trial court granted Dano's motion for new trial by written order dated March 30, 2017. TEX. R. APP. P. 21.8(a), (b) (trial court order granting motion for new trial must be written and entered within 75 days of date sentence imposed or suspended in open court).

An order granting a motion for new trial restores the case to its posture prior to trial, and the judgment is no longer in place to appeal. TEX. R. APP. P. 21.9(b); *Waller v. State*, 931

S.W.2d 640, 643–44 (Tex. App.–Dallas 1996, no pet.). Absent a judgment of conviction or other appealable order, there is nothing before the Court over which we have jurisdiction. *See Wright v. State*, 969 S.W.2d 588, 589 (Tex. App.–Dallas 1998, no pet.).

We dismiss this appeal for want of jurisdiction.

/Robert M. Fillmore/ ROBERT M. FILLMORE JUSTICE

Do Not Publish TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b)

170219F.U05



Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas JUDGMENT

JEFFREY DANO, Appellant

No. 05-17-00219-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 4, Collin County, Texas, Trial Court Cause No. 004-84693-2016. Opinion delivered by Justice Fillmore, Justices Whitehill and Boatright participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, we **DISMISS** this appeal for want of jurisdiction.

Judgment entered this 27th day of April, 2017.