

Denied and Opinion Filed September 14, 2017



In The  
**Court of Appeals**  
**Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

No. 05-17-01073-CV  
No. 05-17-01074-CV

---

**IN RE SENRICK SHERN WILKERSON, Relator**

---

**Original Proceeding from the Criminal District Court No. 3**  
**Dallas County, Texas**  
**Trial Court Cause Nos. F10-01183 and F10-01184**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Francis, Brown, and Whitehill  
Opinion by Justice Francis

Before the Court is relator's "petition for judgment nihil dicit" in which he requests that the Court order the trial court to set a motion for judgment nunc pro tunc for hearing and issue a bench warrant to transport relator to Dallas County so that he can attend the hearing. We treat relator's petition as a petition for writ of mandamus.

To be entitled to a writ of mandamus in a criminal case, the relator must show that (1) he has no other adequate legal remedy to redress his alleged harm and that (2) what he seeks to compel is a ministerial act, not involving a discretionary or judicial decision. *State ex rel. Young v. Sixth Jud. Dist. Ct. of App.*, 236 S.W.3d 207, 210 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007) (orig. proceeding). The relator also must provide the court with a record sufficient to establish his right to mandamus relief. TEX. R. APP. P. 52.3(k), 52.7(a). Relator has not certified the petition as required by rule 52.3(j) and has not provided the documents required by rules 52.3(k) and

52.7(a). Relator has not established his right to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we deny the relief requested.

/Molly Francis/  
MOLLY FRANCIS  
JUSTICE

171073F.P05