

DENY; and Opinion Filed November 29, 2017.



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-17-01349-CV

IN RE VENKY VENKATRAMAN, Relator

**Original Proceeding from the 255th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DF04-11968**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

**Before Justices Lang-Miers, Myers, and Boatright
Opinion by Justice Lang-Miers**

In this original proceeding, relator complains of the trial court's denial of relator's emergency motion for enforcement of final orders. To be entitled to mandamus relief, a relator must show both that the trial court has clearly abused its discretion and that relator has no adequate appellate remedy. *In re Prudential Ins. Co.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding). Based on the record before us, we conclude relator has not shown he is entitled to the relief requested. Accordingly, we deny relator's petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a) (the court must deny the petition if the court determines relator is not entitled to the relief sought).

/Elizabeth Lang-Miers/
ELIZABETH LANG-MIERS
JUSTICE

171349F.P05