

## In The Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-17-00345-CR No. 05-17-00347-CR No. 05-17-00350-CR

# HURTIS DEVON WALKER, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 366th Judicial District Court Collin County, Texas Trial Court Cause Nos. 366-81209-2016, 366-81210-2016, 366-81211-2016

#### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Bridges, Evans, and Whitehill Opinion by Justice Bridges

Hurtis Devon Walker waived a jury trial and pleaded guilty to two aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon offenses and one unlawful possession of a firearm by a felon (UPFF) offense. After finding appellant guilty, the trial court assessed punishment at fifteen years' imprisonment for the aggravated robbery convictions and ten years' imprisonment for the UPFF conviction. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978) (determining whether brief meets requirements of *Anders*). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not

file a pro se response. See Kelly v. State, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (noting

appellant has right to file pro se response to Anders brief filed by counsel).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. See Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824,

826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in Anders cases). We agree the

appeals are frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support

the appeals.

We affirm the trial court's judgments.

/David L. Bridges/

DAVID L. BRIDGES

JUSTICE

Do Not Publish Tex. R. App. P. 47

170345F.U05

-2-



## Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

### **JUDGMENT**

HURTIS DEVON WALKER, Appellant On Appeal from the 366th Judicial District

Court, Collin County, Texas

No. 05-17-00345-CR V. Trial Court Cause No. 366-81209-2016.

Opinion delivered by Justice Bridges.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee Justices Evans and Whitehill participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is AFFIRMED.

Judgment entered March 30, 2018.



## Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

### **JUDGMENT**

HURTIS DEVON WALKER, Appellant On Appeal from the 366th Judicial District

Court, Collin County, Texas

No. 05-17-00347-CR V. Trial Court Cause No. 366-81210-2016.

Opinion delivered by Justice Bridges.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee Justices Evans and Whitehill participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is AFFIRMED.

Judgment entered March 30, 2018.



## Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

### **JUDGMENT**

HURTIS DEVON WALKER, Appellant On Appeal from the 366th Judicial District

Court, Collin County, Texas

No. 05-17-00350-CR V. Trial Court Cause No. 366-81211-2016.

Opinion delivered by Justice Bridges.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee Justices Evans and Whitehill participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is AFFIRMED.

Judgment entered March 30, 2018.