

Affirmed; Opinion Filed May 24, 2018.



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-17-01000-CR

No. 05-17-01001-CR

MARVIN ROBERTS AKA MARVIN LAWAYNE ROBERTS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 380th Judicial District Court
Collin County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 380-81772-2015, 380-81746-2016**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Lang, Myers, and Stoddart
Opinion by Justice Stoddart

Marvin Roberts a/k/a Marvin Lawayne Roberts appeals the revocation of his community supervision. Appellant pleaded guilty to violation of a protective order and assault on a public servant. Pursuant to a plea agreement, the trial court found appellant guilty, sentenced him to eight years' imprisonment, probated for seven years, and assessed a \$500 fine in each case. The State later moved to revoke appellant's community supervision, alleging several violations of the conditions of community supervision. Appellant pleaded true in a hearing on the motions. The trial court found the allegations true, revoked appellant's community supervision, and assessed punishment at five years' imprisonment in each case.

On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S.

738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978) (determining whether brief meets requirements of *Anders*). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (noting appellant has right to file pro se response to *Anders* brief filed by counsel).

We have reviewed the record and counsel’s brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court’s duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeals are frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeals.

We affirm the trial court’s judgments.

/Craig Stoddart/
CRAIG STODDART
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish
TEX. R. APP. P. 47
171000F.U05



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

MARVIN ROBERTS AKA MARVIN
LAWAYNE ROBERTS, Appellant

No. 05-17-01000-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 380th Judicial District
Court, Collin County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 380-81772-2015.
Opinion delivered by Justice Stoddart.
Justices Lang and Myers participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 24th day of May, 2018.



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

MARVIN ROBERTS AKA MARVIN
LAWAYNE ROBERTS, Appellant

No. 05-17-01001-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 380th Judicial District
Court, Collin County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 380-81746-2016.
Opinion delivered by Justice Stoddart.
Justices Lang and Myers participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 24th day of May, 2018.