

AFFIRM; and Opinion Filed June 21, 2018.



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-17-01035-CR

**CASEY COLBERT, Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

**On Appeal from the Criminal District Court No. 3
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. F16-76759-J**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Lang-Miers, Evans, and Schenck
Opinion by Justice Schenck

Casey Colbert waived a jury trial and pleaded guilty to aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon. After finding appellant guilty, the trial court sentenced him to twenty years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978) (determining whether brief meets requirements of *Anders*). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (noting appellant has right to file pro se response to *Anders* brief filed by counsel).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/David J. Schenck/

DAVID J. SCHENCK
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish
TEX. R. APP. P. 47

171035F.U05



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

CASEY COLBERT, Appellant

No. 05-17-01035-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the Criminal District Court
No. 3, Dallas County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. F16-76759-J.

Opinion delivered by Justice Schenck.

Justices Lang-Miers and Evans
participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 21st day of June, 2018.