

Dismissed and Opinion Filed February 9, 2018



In The  
**Court of Appeals**  
**Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

No. 05-17-01395-CV

---

**AT&T INC. AND SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A AT&T  
TEXAS, Appellants**

**V.**

**ANDREA CHRISTIAN AND AMBER HICKS, Appellees**

---

**On Appeal from the 68th Judicial District Court  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. DC-17-08124**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Chief Justice Wright, Justice Lang-Miers, and Justice Stoddart  
Opinion by Chief Justice Wright

Seeking to appeal the trial court's denial of their rule 91a motion to dismiss a baseless claim, appellants have filed a petition for permissive interlocutory appeal. We deny the petition and dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 51.014(d) (West Supp. 2017); Tex. R. App. P. 28.3, 42.3(a).

/Carolyn Wright/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CAROLYN WRIGHT  
CHIEF JUSTICE



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

**JUDGMENT**

AT&T INC. AND SOUTHWESTERN  
BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A  
AT&T TEXAS, Appellants

No. 05-17-01395-CV      V.

ANDREA CHRISTIAN AND AMBER  
HICKS, Appellees

On Appeal from the 68th Judicial District  
Court, Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. DC-17-08124.  
Opinion delivered by Chief Justice Wright.  
Justices Lang-Miers and Stoddart  
participating.

In accordance with this Court's opinion of this date, the appeal is **DISMISSED** for want of jurisdiction.

It is **ORDERED** that appellees ANDREA CHRISTIAN AND AMBER HICKS recover their costs of this appeal from appellants AT&T INC. AND SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A AT&T TEXAS.

Judgment entered February 9, 2018