

**AFFIRM; and Opinion Filed August 9, 2018.**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-18-00162-CR**

---

**MICHAEL SEAN O'CONNOR, Appellant  
V.  
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 422nd Judicial District Court  
Kaufman County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 17-00283-422-F**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Lang-Miers, Evans, and Schenck  
Opinion by Justice Schenck

Appellant Michael Sean O'Connor waived a jury trial and pleaded guilty to manufacture and delivery of methamphetamine in an amount of one gram or more but less than four grams. After finding appellant guilty, the trial court assessed punishment at ten years' imprisonment. The trial court later issued a nunc pro tunc judgment to correct the date of the offense.

On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978) (determining whether brief meets requirements of *Anders*). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response,

but he did not file a pro se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (noting appellant has right to file pro se response to *Anders* brief filed by counsel).

We have reviewed the record and counsel’s brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court’s duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court’s nunc pro tunc judgment.

/David J. Schenck/  
DAVID J. SCHENCK  
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish  
TEX. R. APP. P. 47

180162F.U05



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

**JUDGMENT**

MICHAEL SEAN O'CONNOR, Appellant

No. 05-18-00162-CR      V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 422nd Judicial District  
Court, Kaufman County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. 17-00283-422-F.

Opinion delivered by Justice Schenck.

Justices Lang-Miers and Evans  
participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the nunc pro tunc judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 9th day of August, 2018.