

Denied and Opinion Filed July 6, 2018



In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-18-00751-CV

IN RE VENKY VENKATRAMAN, Relator

Original Proceeding from the 256th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DF-04-11968

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Lang-Miers, Fillmore, and Stoddart
Opinion by Justice Lang-Miers

This original proceeding is the latest of multiple proceedings filed in relation to the underlying divorce and custody dispute. Here, relator complains that the trial court's June 5, 2018 memorandum ruling relating to relator's possession schedule with his youngest child is not supported by legally or factually sufficient evidence.

To be entitled to mandamus relief, a relator must show both that the trial court has clearly abused its discretion and that relator has no adequate appellate remedy. *In re Prudential Ins. Co.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding). Based on the record before us, we conclude relator has not shown he is entitled to the relief requested. Accordingly, we deny relator's petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a) (the court must deny the petition if the

court determines relator is not entitled to the relief sought).

/Elizabeth Lang-Miers/
ELIZABETH LANG-MIERS
JUSTICE

180751F.P05