DISMISS; and Opinion Filed February 4, 2019.



## In The Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Pallas

No. 05-19-00100-CV No. 05-19-00101-CV No. 05-19-00102-CV

## IN RE KENTRAIL R. MCCUIN, Relator

On Appeal from the Criminal District Court No. 5
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. F-12-51308-L, F-12-51309-L, and F-12-51310-L

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Brown, Schenck, and Reichek Opinion by Justice Schenck

In 2012, relator was convicted by a jury of evading arrest or detention, unlawful possession of a firearm by a felon, and possession with intent to deliver more than one gram and less than four grams of cocaine in a drug-free zone while using or exhibiting a deadly weapon. *McCuin v. State*, Nos. 05-12-01148-CR, 05-12-01149-CR & 05-12-01150-CR, 2013 WL 3929215 (Tex. App.—Dallas July 26, 2013, no pet.) (not designated for publication). The trial court assessed punishment at two years' imprisonment in the evading case, five years' imprisonment in the firearm case, and twenty years' imprisonment in the delivery case, and ordered that the sentences be served consecutively. *Id.* This Court affirmed his convictions. *Id.* Relator did not challenge the cumulation order in his appeal. *Id.* In this original proceeding, relator complains that the consecutive sentences constitute an improper cumulation order. Relator seeks a writ of mandamus directing the trial court to set aside the cumulation order.

This proceeding is a collateral attack on a final conviction and, therefore, falls within the

scope of a post-conviction writ of habeas corpus under article 11.07 of the Texas Code of Criminal

Procedure. TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 11.07; see In re Colbert, No. 05-18-00340-CV, 2018

WL 1704149, at \*1 (Tex. App.—Dallas Apr. 9, 2018, orig. proceeding) (mem. op.) (court lacked

jurisdiction over mandamus proceeding seeking writ directing trial court to vacate cumulation

order from final felony conviction); In re Taylor, No. 12-12-00240-CR, 2012 WL 3201959, at \*1

(Tex. App.—Tyler Aug. 8, 2012, orig. proceeding) (mem. op.) (dismissing original proceeding for

want of jurisdiction where relator sought order directing the trial court to vacate cumulation order

and reform the judgment to reflect that sentences are to run concurrently). Only the Texas Court

of Criminal Appeals has jurisdiction in final, post-conviction felony proceedings. TEX. CODE

CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 11.07; Ater v. Eighth Court of Appeals, 802 S.W.2d 241, 243 (Tex. Crim.

App. 1991) (orig. proceeding); *In re McAfee*, 53 S.W.3d 715, 717 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.]

2001, orig. proceeding). Because the relief sought in relator's petition relates to post-conviction

relief from an otherwise final felony conviction, we are without jurisdiction to consider his petition

for writ of mandamus. In re Colbert, 2018 WL 1704149, at \*1; see also In re Taylor, 2012 WL

3201959, at \*1. Accordingly, we dismiss this proceeding for want of jurisdiction.

/David J. Schenck/

DAVID J. SCHENCK

**JUSTICE** 

190100F.P05

-2-