

In The Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Pallas

No. 05-19-01088-CR and No. 05-20-00031-CR

POLYCARP OIGO ONCHOKE, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the Criminal District Court No. 6
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. F-1900423-X and F-1900422-X

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Molberg, Carlyle, and Browning Opinion by Justice Molberg

Polycarp Oigo Onchoke entered guilty pleas to two charges of invasive visual recording, and the court sentenced him to eighteen months' imprisonment on each charge. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE § 21.15(c). Onchoke contends his sentence violates the United States and Texas Constitutions because it is "grossly disproportionate" to the crime and does not match the offender. But Mr. Onchoke did not raise these issues in the trial court through a timely request, objection, or motion, and thus did not preserve them for our review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 33.1(a)(1); *Rhoades v. State*,

934 S.W.2d 113, 120 (Tex. Crim. App. 1996); Bell v. State, 326 S.W.3d 716, 724

(Tex. App.—Dallas 2010, pet. dism'd).

In a cross-point, the State asks us to modify the judgment in case number 05-

20-00031-CR (trial court cause number F-1900422-X) to reflect that Onchoke made

an open plea and did not have the benefit of a plea bargain when he pleaded guilty.

The record reflects that Onchoke made an open plea in both of the cases before

us. We may modify the trial court's judgment to make the record speak the truth

when we have the necessary information to do so. Tex. R. App. P. 43.2(b); Bigley v.

State, 865 S.W.2d 26, 27–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 1993) (en banc) (refusing to limit the

authority of the courts of appeals to reform judgments to only those situations

involving mistakes of a clerical nature); Asberry v. State, 813 S.W.2d 526, 529–30

(Tex. App.—Dallas 1991, pet. ref'd).

We affirm the trial court's judgment in case number 05-19-01088-CR (trial

court cause number F-1900423-X). In case number 05-20-00031-CR (trial court

cause number F-1900422-X), we modify the judgment by deleting the phrase "18

months state jail" after "Terms of Plea Bargain" and adding the word "open" instead.

/Ken Molberg/

KEN MOLBERG

JUSTICE

191088f.u05 200031f.u05

Do Not Publish

TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b)

-2-



Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Dallas

JUDGMENT

POLYCARP OIGO ONCHOKE, On Appeal from the Criminal District

Appellant Court No. 6, Dallas County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. F-1900423-X.

No. 05-19-01088-CR V. Opinion delivered by Justice

Molberg. Justices Carlyle and

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee Browning participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 6th day of November, 2020.



Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Dallas

JUDGMENT

POLYCARP OIGO ONCHOKE, On Appeal from the Criminal District

Appellant Court No. 6, Dallas County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. F-1900422-X.

No. 05-20-00031-CR V. Opinion delivered by Justice

Molberg. Justices Carlyle and

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee Browning participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **MODIFIED** by deleting the phrase "18 months state jail" after "Terms of Plea Bargain" and adding the word "open" instead.

As **REFORMED**, the judgment is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 6th day of November, 2020.