Dismissed and Opinion Filed October 9, 2020



In The Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-20-00870-CR

No. 05-20-00871-CR

No. 05-20-00872-CR

No. 05-20-00873-CR

MAHSA PARVIZ-KHYAVI, Appellant V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 219th Judicial District Court Collin County, Texas Trial Court Cause Nos. 219-83499-2017, 2019-81286-2018, 219-81679-2018 & 2019-82552-2019

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Molberg, Carlyle, and Browning Opinion by Justice Molberg

On September 22, 2020, Mahsa Parviz-Khyavi filed notices of appeal in each of the above cases. Each notice states he is appealing "an order from 09/02/2020, later erroneously issued on 09/10/2020," denying his motion to recuse Judge Edgeworth. We dismiss these appeals.

A defendant's right to appeal in a criminal case is a statutorily created right. Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. art. 44.02; *Bayless v. State*, 91 S.W.3d 801, 805 (Tex. Crim. App. 2002). Courts of appeals lack jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders

unless that jurisdiction has been expressly granted by law. Ragston v. State, 424

S.W.3d 49, 52 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014); Abbott v. State, 271 S.W.3d 694, 696-97

(Tex. Crim. App. 2008); Wright v. State, 969 S.W.2d 588, 589 (Tex. App.—Dallas

1998, no pet.).

The procedures for recusal of judges are set out in the rules of civil procedure

and apply to criminal cases. Tex. R. Civ. P. 18a; De Leon v. Aguilar, 127 S.W.3d 1,

5 (Tex. Crim. App. 2004) (orig. proceeding); Arnold v. State, 853 S.W.2d 543, 544

(Tex. Crim. App. 1993). An order denying a motion to recuse is reviewable only on

appeal from a final judgment. Tex. R. Civ. P. 18a(j)(1)(A). Absent a timely appeal

of a final conviction, an appellate court lacks jurisdiction over a trial court's stand-

alone order denying a motion to recuse. Green v. State, 374 S.W.3d 434, 445 (Tex.

Crim. App. 2012).

Appellant filed motions to recuse Judge Edgeworth in each of the above cases,

which the assigned judge denied. However, appellant has not been convicted of any

crime. Because there are no final convictions, we lack jurisdiction over these

appeals.

We dismiss these appeals.

200870f.u05

Do Not Publish

TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b)

KEN MOLBERG JUSTICE

-2-



JUDGMENT

On Appeal from the 219th Judicial MAHSA PARVIZ-KHYAVI, Appellant

District Court, Collin County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. 219-83499-No. 05-20-00870-CR V.

2017.

Opinion delivered by Justice Molberg. Justices Carlyle and THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Browning participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, we **DISMISS** this appeal.

Judgment entered this 9th day of October, 2020.



JUDGMENT

On Appeal from the 219th Judicial MAHSA PARVIZ-KHYAVI, Appellant

District Court, Collin County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. 219-81286-

2018.

Opinion delivered by Justice

V.

Molberg. Justices Carlyle and THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Browning participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, we **DISMISS** this appeal.

Judgment entered this 9th day of October, 2020.

No. 05-20-00871-CR



JUDGMENT

On Appeal from the 219th Judicial MAHSA PARVIZ-KHYAVI, Appellant

District Court, Collin County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. 219-81679-

2018. No. 05-20-00872-CR V.

Opinion delivered by Justice

Molberg. Justices Carlyle and THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Browning participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, we **DISMISS** this appeal.

Judgment entered this 9th day of October, 2020.



JUDGMENT

MAHSA PARVIZ-KHYAVI, On Appeal from the 219th Judicial Appellant District Court, Collin County, Texa

District Court, Collin County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 219-82552-

No. 05-20-00873-CR V. 2019.

Opinion delivered by Justice THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee Molberg. Justices Carlyle and

Browning participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, we **DISMISS** this appeal.

Judgment entered this 9th day of October, 2020.