

**DISMISS and Opinion Filed April 28, 2021**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-21-00145-CV**

---

**SAMIR DAOUDI, Appellant  
V.  
ABDULRHMAN M. KLALIB, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 193rd Judicial District Court  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. DC-20-17213**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

**Before Justices Molberg, Goldstein, and Smith  
Opinion by Justice Smith**

Before the Court is appellant's motion for extension of time to file his notice of appeal from the trial court's summary judgment. The notice of appeal was filed outside the ninety-day deadline set by Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1(a), applicable when, as here, a motion for new trial or reconsideration is filed, but within the fifteen-day extension period provided by appellate rule 26.3. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 26.1(a)(1), 26.3. Appellant explains in the motion that the notice of appeal was not timely filed because he "was awaiting the decision of the trial court on his Motion for Reconsideration and the expiration of the trial court's plenary power over this

case.” Appellee opposes the motion, asserting appellant’s explanation is not reasonable. We agree with appellee.

The timely filing of a notice of appeal is jurisdictional. *Brashear v. Victoria Gardens of McKinney, L.L.C.*, 302 S.W.3d 542, 545 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2009, no pet.) (op. on reh’g). To obtain an extension for filing a notice of appeal, the party appealing must offer a reasonable explanation for the delay in filing. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 10.5(b)(1)(C), 26.3(b). The Texas Supreme Court has defined a “reasonable explanation” as “any plausible statement of circumstances indicating that failure to file within the [specified] period was not deliberate or intentional, but was the result of inadvertence, mistake, or mischance.” *Hone v. Hanafin*, 104 S.W.3d 884, 886 (Tex. 2003) (per curiam) (quoting *Meshwert v. Meshwert*, 549 S.W.2d 383, 384 (Tex. 1977)). “Any conduct short of deliberate or intentional noncompliance qualifies as inadvertence, mistake, or mischance[.]” *Garcia v. Kastner Farms, Inc.*, 774 S.W.2d 668, 670 (Tex. 1989).

We have previously concluded that intentionally waiting for a trial court to hear a motion for new trial is not a reasonable explanation. *See Daniel v. Daniel*, 05-17-00469-CV, 2017 WL 2645432, at \*1 (Tex. App.—Dallas June 20, 2017, no pet.) (mem. op.); *Zhao v. Lone Star Engine Installation Ctr., Inc.*, No. 05-09-01055-CV, 2009 WL 3177578, at \*1, 2 (Tex. App.—Dallas Oct. 6, 2009, pet. denied) (per curiam) (mem. op.). Accordingly, we deny the extension motion and dismiss the

appeal and all other pending motions for want of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a); *Brashear*, 302 S.W.3d at 545.

/Craig Smith/  
CRAIG SMITH  
JUSTICE

210145F.P05



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

**JUDGMENT**

SAMIR DAOUDI, Appellant

No. 05-21-00145-CV      V.

ABDULRHMAN M. KLALIB,  
Appellee

On Appeal from the 193rd Judicial  
District Court, Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. DC-20-17213.  
Opinion delivered by Justice Smith,  
Justices Molberg and Goldstein  
participating.

In accordance with this Court's opinion of this date, we **DISMISS** the appeal.

We **ORDER** that appellee Abdulrhman M. Klalib recover his costs, if any, of this appeal from appellant Samir Daoudi.

Judgment entered April 28, 2021.