

**Dismiss and Opinion Filed February 23, 2022**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-20-00921-CV**

---

**PATRICK ECHOLS, Appellant  
V.  
MIKE CALHOUN, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 4  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. CC-20-01089-D**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Schenck, Molberg, and Pedersen, III  
Opinion by Justice Molberg

Appellant Patrick Echols, appearing pro se, filed a half-page, single spaced brief that fails to comply with the rules of appellate procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 38.1. Significantly, and among other failures, the brief does not contain (1) a concise statement of the nature of the case, the course of proceedings, and the trial court's disposition of the case supported by record references, (2) a concise statement of all issues or points presented for review, (3) a concise statement of the facts pertinent to the issues or points presented, supported by record references; (4) a clear and concise argument for the contentions made, with appropriate citations to authorities

and to the record, or (5) a short conclusion that clearly states the nature of the relief sought. *See id.* 38.1(d), (f), (g), (i), (j). On January 27, 2021, we directed appellant to file within ten days an amended brief in compliance with the rules and cautioned that failure to comply could result in dismissal of the appeal. Despite our direction, appellant has not filed an amended brief. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 38.8(a)(1), 38.9(a), 42.3(b), (c).

200921f.p05

/Ken Molberg/  
KEN MOLBERG  
JUSTICE



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

**JUDGMENT**

PATRICK ECHOLS, Appellant

No. 05-20-00921-CV      V.

MIKE CALHOUN, Appellee

On Appeal from the County Court at  
Law No. 4, Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. CC-20-01089-  
D.

Opinion delivered by Justice  
Molberg. Justices Schenck and  
Pedersen, III participating.

In accordance with this Court's opinion of this date, the appeal is  
**DISMISSED.**

It is **ORDERED** that appellee MIKE CALHOUN recover his costs of this  
appeal from appellant PATRICK ECHOLS.

Judgment entered this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2022.