

DISMISS and Opinion Filed March 18, 2022



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-21-01074-CV

**MARTA DANYLYK, HELMUT HOFER, AND SOFIJA HOFER, Appellants
V.
CITY OF EULESS, SELF-INSURED, Appellee**

**On Appeal from the 366th Judicial District Court
Collin County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 366-01243-2017**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Chief Justice Burns, Justice Molberg, and Justice Goldstein
Opinion by Chief Justice Burns

Seeking to appeal the trial court's interlocutory order denying their plea to the jurisdiction, appellants have filed a petition for permissive appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 28.3.

After considering the petition, response, and reply, we deny the petition and dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 51.014(f); TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a); *Feagan v. Wilson*, No. 11-21-00032-CV, 2021 WL 1134804, at *1-2 (Tex. App.—Eastland Mar. 25, 2021, no pet.) (mem. op.).

/Robert D. Burns, III/
ROBERT D. BURNS, III
CHIEF JUSTICE

211074F.P05



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

MARTA DANYLYK, HELMUT
HOFER, AND SOFIJA HOFER,
Appellants

No. 05-21-01074-CV V.

CITY OF EULESS, SELF-
INSURED, Appellee

On Appeal from the 366th Judicial
District Court, Collin County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 366-01243-
2017.

Opinion delivered by Chief Justice
Burns, Justices Molberg and
Goldstein participating.

In accordance with this Court's opinion of this date, we **DISMISS** the appeal.

We **ORDER** that appellee City of Euless, Self-Insured recover its costs, if any, of this appeal from appellants Marta Danylyk, Helmut Hofer, and Sofija Hofer.

Judgment entered March 18, 2022