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MEMORANDUM OPINION
Before Justices Molberg, Pedersen, III, and Garcia
Opinion by Justice Molberg
Before the Court are relators' petition for writ of mandamus, Richard D. Gaines' motion to be admitted pro hac vice to represent relators, and resident practicing Texas attorney's motion in support of the pro hac vice motion, all filed February 10, 2022.

The motion by Richard D. Gaines does not comply with the requirements for participation in Texas proceedings by a non-resident attorney. See Tex. R. Governing Admission to the Bar XIX(d). Although he has not been admitted to the State Bar of Texas or pro hac vice to represent relators in this case, his
signature block and signature both appear on the petition for writ of mandamus along with those of a member of the Texas Bar. See In re Hartford Life \& Annuity Ins. Co., No. 05-14-00457-CV, 2014 WL 1613018, at * 1 (Tex. App.-Dallas, Apr. 22, 2014, orig. proceedings) (mem. op.) (improper inclusion on a petition signed by a resident attorney when neither licensed nor admitted pro hac vice).

Additionally, relators' petition does not satisfy the requirements of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. The petition is not properly certified. See Tex. R. APP. P. 52.3(j). Relators have also failed to provide a complete and properly certified or sworn record. See Tex. R. App. P. 52.3(k) and 52.7(a)(1). Relators have failed to provide an adequate record for our review.

Accordingly, we deny the motions for Richard D. Gaines to be admitted pro hac vice to represent relators and we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. See Tex. R. App. P. 52.8(a).
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