## Deny and Opinion Filed February 25, 2022



## In The Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-22-00140-CV

## IN RE CHUAN CHEN AND M. TAYARI GARRETT, Relators

Original Proceeding from the 192nd Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DC-21-17249

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Molberg, Pedersen, III, and Garcia Opinion by Justice Pedersen, III

Before the Court are relators' February 22, 2022 petition for writ of mandamus and motion for emergency stay.

Entitlement to mandamus relief requires relators to show that the trial court clearly abused its discretion and that they lack an adequate appellate remedy. *In re Prudential Ins. Co.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding).

Based on our review of the petition and record, we conclude relators have failed to demonstrate an entitlement to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a).

We deny relators' motion for emergency stay as moot.

220	14	0f.	p0	15

/Bill Pedersen, III//
BILL PEDERSEN, III
JUSTICE