

DENY and Opinion Filed August 12, 2022



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-22-00684-CV

IN RE B.D. AND T.M.D., Relators

**Original Proceeding from the 305th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. JC-20-00439-W**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Osborne, Partida-Kipness, and Smith
Opinion by Justice Partida-Kipness

In this original proceeding, relators complain about the trial court's issuance of a writ of attachment enforcing the final judgment in the underlying suit affecting the parent-child relationship. Entitlement to mandamus relief requires relators to show that the trial court clearly abused its discretion and that they lack an adequate appellate remedy. *In re Prudential Ins. Co. of Am.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding).

After reviewing the petition, responses, and the record, we conclude that relators failed to demonstrate that the trial court clearly abused its discretion. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a).

We also lift the stay issued by our July 18, 2022 order.

/Robbie Partida-Kipness/
ROBBIE PARTIDA-KIPNESS
JUSTICE

220684F.P05