

Denied and Opinion Filed June 6, 2025



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-25-00625-CV

IN RE JACOB WHITE, Relator

**Original Proceeding from the 429th Judicial District Court
Collin County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 429-02359-2025**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Goldstein, Breedlove, and Jackson
Opinion by Justice Goldstein

Before the Court are pro se relator's May 27, 2025 petition for writ of mandamus and May 28, 2025 motion for emergency relief. In his petition, relator challenges a May 19, 2025 order declaring relator a vexatious litigant, ordering relator to post security in the amount of \$10,000 within 30 days, and abating the underlying suit and another proceeding until relator posts the security. Relator further contends the district clerk acted improperly by rejecting his filings without a prefiling order, that the trial court abused its discretion by abating multiple cases without individual findings or statutory authority, and the trial court violated relator's due-process rights by finding that there was no reasonable probability of relator's succeeding in the underlying suit without allowing relator discovery or

adjudicating his constitutional claims and by declaring him a vexatious litigant based on rule 202 proceedings.

To the extent relator seeks relief against the district clerk, we dismiss relator's petition for want of jurisdiction. The Court may issue writs of mandamus against a trial court clerk only when necessary to enforce the jurisdiction of the Court. TEX. GOV'T CODE ANN. § 22.221(a)–(c). Although relator also filed an interlocutory appeal, relator does not explain how the relief sought against the district clerk is necessary to enforce our jurisdiction in that appeal, and we can ascertain no such reason. *See In re Barnett*, No. 05-25-00258-CV, 2025 WL 806231, at *1 (Tex. App.—Dallas Mar. 13, 2025, orig. proceeding) (mem. op.).

To the extent relator seeks any other relief, we deny relator's petition. Relator's petition does not comply with the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.3(g), (h), (j); TEX. R. APP. P. 52.7(a)(1). Thus, relator's petition does not meet the requirements for consideration of mandamus relief. *See In re Bautista*, No. 05-25-00267-CV, 2025 WL 806233, at *1 (Tex. App.—Dallas Mar. 13, 2025, orig. proceeding) (mem. op.); *In re Integrity Mktg. Grp., LLC*, No. 05-24-00922-CV, 2024 WL 3770377, at *1 (Tex. App.—Dallas Aug. 13, 2024, orig. proceeding) (mem. op.).

We further deny relator's emergency motion as moot.

/Bonnie Goldstein/

BONNIE LEE GOLDSTEIN
JUSTICE