Opinion issued August 26, 2008



In The Court of Appeals For The First District of Texas

NO. 01- 08-00219-CR

ANTHONY JAMES WATSON, Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 232nd District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 1062513

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant, Anthony James Watson, was convicted by a jury of the offense of

sexual assault, and assessed punishment at confinement for 10 years probated for five

years. After being placed on community supervision, the State filed a motion to revoke probation alleging that appellant had violated the terms and conditions of his probation. Appellant pleaded true to three of the four allegations in the State's motions. After hearing evidence, the trial court found true the allegations contained in the State's motion and revoked appellant's community supervision. The trial court assessed punishment at confinement for 10 years. Appellant gave notice of appeal.

Appellant's counsel on appeal has filed a brief stating that the record presents no reversible error, that the appeal is without merit and is frivolous, and that the appeal must be dismissed or affirmed. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, (1967). The brief meets the requirements of *Anders* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and detailing why there are no arguable grounds for reversal. *Id.* at 744, 87 S. Ct. at 1400; *see also High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 810 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

Counsel represents that he has served a copy of the brief on appellant. Counsel also advised appellant of her right to examine the appellate record and file a *pro se* brief. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). More than 30 days have passed, and appellant has not filed a *pro se* brief. Having reviewed the record and counsel's brief, we agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit and that there is no reversible error. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

We affirm the judgment of the trial court and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.¹

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Taft, Jennings, and Bland.

Do not publish. TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).

¹ Appointed counsel still has a duty to inform appellant of the result of this appeal and that she may, on her own, pursue discretionary review in the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).