

Opinion issued October 30, 2008



**In The
Court of Appeals
For The
First District of Texas**

**NOS. 01-08-00243-CR
01-08-00244-CR**

LAKISHA RENEE HENRY, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 178th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 922521 and 1121809**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant, Lakisha Renee Henry, pleaded true to the allegations contained in the State's motion to adjudicate guilt filed in cause number 922521. Appellant also pleaded guilty to the felony offense of aggravated assault in trial court cause number

1121809. The trial court ordered a presentence investigation and reset the cases for a hearing.

After a presentence investigation hearing, the trial court entered a finding that the allegations contained in the State's motion to adjudicate were true, found appellant guilty of the felony offense of endangering a child, and sentenced her to confinement for two years in cause in cause number 922521. The trial court also found appellant guilty of the offense of aggravated assault, and sentenced her to confinement for eight years in cause number 1121809. We affirm the judgments of the trial court.

Appellant's counsel on appeal has filed a brief stating that the records present no reversible error, that the appeals are without merit and are frivolous, and that the appeals must be dismissed or affirmed. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967). The brief meets the requirements of *Anders* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and detailing why there are no arguable grounds for reversal. *Id.* 386 U.S. at 744, 87 S. Ct. at 1400; *see also High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 810 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

Counsel represents that she has served a copy of the brief on appellant. Counsel also advised appellant of her right to examine the appellate record and file a *pro se* brief. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). More than 30 days have passed, and appellant has not filed a *pro se* brief. Having

reviewed the record and counsel’s brief, we agree that the appeals are frivolous and without merit and that there is no reversible error. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

We affirm the judgments of the trial court and grant counsel’s motion to withdraw.¹

We deny any pending motions as moot.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Taft, Keyes, and Alcala.

Do not publish. TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).

¹ Appointed counsel still has a duty to inform appellant of the result of this appeal and that he may, on his own, pursue discretionary review in the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826—27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

