

# In The Court of Appeals For The Hirst District of Texas

\_\_\_\_\_

NO. 01-08-00805-CR

\_\_\_\_\_

# IN RE PATRICK FRANKLIN, Relator

# Original Proceeding on Petition for Writ of Mandamus

### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Relator Patrick Franklin filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus complaining that the trial court has not ruled on matters related to his post conviction writ of habeas corpus filed in cause 974028.<sup>1</sup> We dismiss the petition for lack of jurisdiction.

Respondent is the Honorable Don Stricklin, Judge, 337th District Court, Harris County. Relator's petition does not contain a certificate of service for Respondent. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 9.5, 52.3(j)

This Court issued an opinion affirming relator's conviction in cause number 974028 on September 28, 2006. *See Franklin v. State*, No. 01-06-00955-CR, 2006 WL 2772750, (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] Sep. 28, 2006, pet. ref'd) (not designated for publication). The judgment of the trial court became final when our mandate issued on June 25, 2007.

This Court has no authority to issue a writ of mandamus to compel a district court judge to rule on a petition for writ of habeas corpus in which the judgment of conviction is final. *In re McAfee*, **53 S.W.3d 715**, 718 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2001, orig. proceeding). Neither do we have jurisdiction to grant post-conviction habeas corpus relief in felony cases because that jurisdiction rests exclusively with the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. Art. 11.07 § 3, (Vernon Supp. 2008); *Ater v. Eight Court of Apeals*, 802 S.W.2d 241, 243 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991) (orig. proceeding).

Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

### **PER CURIAM**

Panel consists of Justices Taft, Keyes, and Alcala.

Do not publish. Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).