

Opinion issued August 31, 2010



In The
Court of Appeals
For The
First District of Texas

NOS. 01-10-00354-CR
01-10-00355-CR

GREGORY A. WOODFORK, Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 177th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Case Nos. 1244251 & 1244252**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Gregory A. Woodfork pleaded guilty to two offenses for burglary, based on plea bargains and agreed recommendations on punishment. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 30.02(a)(1) (Vernon 2003). Woodfork both waived his right

to appeal and pleaded true in each offense to prior felony convictions for unauthorized use of a motor vehicle and possession of a controlled substance. The clerk's records do not include any "matters that were raised by written motion filed and ruled on before trial." *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2). Following the agreed recommendations, the trial court assessed punishment for each burglary offense at two years in prison, both sentences to run concurrently. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. §§ 12.33, 12.42(a)(2) (Vernon Supp. 2009).

The trial court certified that both cases are plea-bargain cases and that Woodfork has no right to appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2). Woodfork filed timely pro se notices of appeal. The trial court appointed appellate counsel, who filed *Anders* briefs. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 1400 (1967). In the *Anders* briefs, Woodfork's appointed counsel asserts any appeals would be wholly frivolous because this Court has no jurisdiction to hear them and there are no arguable grounds for appeal on the merits.

We conclude that the trial court's certifications that Woodfork has no right of appeal, as shown on the forms entitled "Trial Court's Certification of Right of Appeal," are supported by the clerk's records that show he entered into agreed plea bargains with the State. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2). Because Woodfork has no right of appeal, we must dismiss these appeals "without further action." *See Chavez v. State*, 183 S.W.3d 675, 680 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006).

Accordingly, the appeals are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Jennings, Alcala, and Massengale.

Do not publish. TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).