

Opinion issued October 6, 2011.



In The  
**Court of Appeals**  
For The  
**First District of Texas**

---

NO. 01-11-00713-CR

---

**IN RE CHRISTOPHER V. ACOSTA, Relator**

---

---

**Original Proceeding on Petition for Writ of Mandamus**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Relator, Christopher V. Acosta, has filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus, complaining that the trial court has failed to act on his application for writ of habeas corpus in the manner proscribed by law.<sup>1</sup> *See* TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 11.07 § 3(c) (West 2005).

---

<sup>1</sup> Relator has identified the underlying habeas corpus proceeding as *Ex parte Acosta*, No. 924847-A, in the 183rd District Court of Harris County, Texas, the Honorable Vanessa Velasquez presiding.

This Court does not have jurisdiction over matters related to applications for writ of habeas corpus under article 11.07. *See* TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 11.07 §§ 3, 5; *In re McAfee*, 53 S.W.3d 715, 718 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2001, orig. proceeding) (“Article 11.07 contains no role for the courts of appeals; the only courts referred to are the convicting court and the Court of Criminal Appeals). Accordingly, we dismiss the petition for writ of mandamus for want of jurisdiction, and we dismiss all outstanding motions as moot.

**PER CURIAM**

Panel consists of Justices Jennings, Sharp, and Brown.

Do not publish. TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).