

Opinion issued October 12, 2011



In The  
**Court of Appeals**  
For The  
**First District of Texas**

---

NO. 01-11-00818-CR

---

IN RE THOMAS FREEMAN, Relator

---

---

Original Proceeding on Petition for Writ of Mandamus

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Relator, Thomas Freeman, has filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. GOV'T CODE ANN. § 22.221(b) (Vernon 2004); *see also* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.1. Relator contends that “the trial court erred when it failed to address [his] plea to its jurisdiction” and “when it continued to press forward to trial date without

resolving the jurisdiction question.”<sup>1</sup> Relator requests this Court to order the trial court “to follow the law or in the alternative, [to] rule that [the trial court] does not have jurisdiction over [relator] and that all the charges against him should be dismissed.”

We deny the petition for writ of mandamus.

**PER CURIAM**

Panel consists of Justices Keyes, Higley, and Massengale.

Do not publish. TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).

---

<sup>1</sup> Respondent is The Honorable David Mendoza, presiding judge of the 178th District Court of Harris County, Texas. The underlying suit is *The State of Texas v. Thomas Freeman*, No. 1280765 in the 178th District Court of Harris County, Texas, The Hon. David Mendoza, presiding.