Opinion issued April 15, 2014



In The

Court of Appeals

For The

First District of Texas

NO. 01-14-00078-CR

JOSEPH EARL FRANCIS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 338th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 1342243

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Joseph Earl Francis pleaded guilty, pursuant to an agreement with the State that punishment be capped at confinement for 35 years, to the first degree felony offense of murder. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 19.02 (West 2011). The trial court found appellant guilty and, in accordance with appellant's plea

agreement with the State, assessed punishment at confinement for 35 years. The trial court certified that this is a plea-bargain case and that appellant does not have the right of appeal. Nevertheless, appellant, proceeding pro se, filed a notice of appeal. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

A plea bargain case is one in which "a defendant's plea was guilty or nolo contendere and the punishment did not exceed the punishment recommended by the prosecutor and agreed to by the defendant." Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(a)(2). In a plea bargain case, a defendant may appeal only those matters that were raised by written motion filed and ruled on before trial, or after getting the trial court's permission to appeal. *Id.* The appeal must be dismissed if a certification showing that the defendant has the right of appeal has not been made part of the record. Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(d).

The clerk's record reflects that appellant pleaded guilty in exchange for the State's recommendation that punishment be capped at confinement for 35 years. An agreement to a recommended cap on punishment constitutes a plea bargain. *See Shankle v. State*, 119 S.W.3d 808, 813 (Tex. Crim. App. 2003); *Wilson v. State*, 264 S.W.3d 104, 108 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2007, no pet.); *Waters v. State*, 124 S.W.3d 825, 826–27 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2003, pet. ref'd);. The record of the plea hearing reflects that the trial court so admonished appellant and that appellant understood that he would not have the right of appeal.

The trial court assessed punishment at confinement for 35 years, in accordance with appellant's agreement with the State.¹ We conclude that the record supports the trial court's certification. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2; *Dears v. State*, 154 S.W.3d 610, 615 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

The trial court did not rule adversely to appellant on any pre-trial matters and did not give permission for appellant to appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2). Because appellant has no right of appeal, we must dismiss the appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(d); *Chavez v. State*, 183 S.W.3d 675, 680 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006) ("A court of appeals, while having jurisdiction to ascertain whether an appellant who plea-bargained is permitted to appeal by Rule 25.2(a)(2), must dismiss a prohibited appeal without further action, regardless of the basis for the appeal.").

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 43.2(f). We dismiss any pending motions as moot.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Radack and Justices Massengale and Huddle.

Do not publish. Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).

The trial court's judgment states, "Term of Plea Bargain: Without an Agreed Recommendation." Such language does not convert the plea to an open plea when, as here, the plea was entered pursuant to agreed sentencing cap. *See Threadgill v. State*, 120 S.W.3d 871, 872 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2003, no pet.) (holding statement in record indicating that there was no agreed recommendation did not convert proceeding into open plea when plea was entered pursuant to agreed sentencing cap).