

Opinion issued July 28, 2020



In The
Court of Appeals
For The
First District of Texas

NO. 01-20-00510-CR

NO. 01-20-00511-CR

IN RE AARON GUILLORY, Relator

Original Proceeding on Petition for Writ of Mandamus

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relator, Aaron Guillory, has filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus, seeking to compel the trial court to perform its ministerial function to hold a hearing and/or rule on relator's applications for writ of habeas corpus filed in two causes.¹

¹ The underlying cases are *The State of Texas v. Aaron Guillory*, Cause Nos. 1646207 and 1663883, in the 208th District Court of Harris County, Texas, the Honorable Greg Glass presiding.

We dismiss relator's petition for lack of jurisdiction.

Relator has filed his petition for writ of mandamus pro se. However, a review of the record establishes that in both underlying cases, the trial court has entered an "Order Appointing Counsel," representing that relator is indigent and providing him with court-appointed counsel.

Accordingly, relator's pro se petition for writ of mandamus presents nothing for this Court to review because a criminal defendant is not entitled to hybrid representation. *See Patrick v. State*, 906 S.W.2d 481, 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995) (because appellant was represented by counsel and was not entitled to hybrid representation, appellant's pro se supplemental brief presented nothing for review); *Gray v. Shipley*, 877 S.W.2d 806, 806 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1994, no writ) (orig. proceeding) (overruling pro se motion for leave to file mandamus petition because relator was represented by appointed trial counsel and not entitled to hybrid representation).

Accordingly, we dismiss relator's petition for writ of mandamus for lack of jurisdiction. All pending motions are dismissed as moot.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Keyes, Kelly, and Landau.

Do not publish. TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).