

Opinion issued July 26, 2022



In The
Court of Appeals
For The
First District of Texas

NO. 01-22-00498-CR

IN RE JASON CLARK, Relator

Original Proceeding on Petition for Writ of Mandamus

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relator, Jason Clark, has filed a petition for writ of mandamus, seeking “supervisory jurisdiction” over the trial court based on unspecified actions that went beyond the limitations of probable cause in violation of Clark’s constitutional right to due process.¹ Clark does not explain what actions the trial court took or whether

¹ The underlying case is *State of Texas v. Jason Clark*, cause number 2KCR0112, pending in the County Court of Chambers County, Texas, the Honorable Scott R. Peal presiding.

the trial court entered an order. Accordingly, it is unclear what action Clark is challenging.

To establish entitlement to mandamus relief in a criminal case, a “relator must show that he has no adequate remedy at law to redress his alleged harm and that the act he seeks to compel is ministerial.” *In re Mendoza*, 467 S.W.3d 76, 78 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2015, orig. proceeding). Clark does not explain what trial court act he seeks to compel, whether the trial court’s challenged action was ministerial, or whether he has an adequate remedy at law. Moreover, Clark has not provided a record to support his allegation of a violation of his constitutional right to due process. *See id.* (relator must generally bring forward a record sufficient to establish his claim for mandamus relief).

Because Clark has not established his entitlement to mandamus relief, we deny the petition.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Kelly, Countiss, and Rivas-Molloy.

Do not publish. TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).