Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed October 14, 2010.



In The

Hourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-10-00341-CR NO. 14-10-00342-CR

PAULINE SYLVIA JACOBS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 338th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause Nos. 1244540 & 1231359

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered pleas of guilty to robbery—bodily injury and burglary of a habitation with intent to commit theft. On April 8, 2010, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for eight years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for each offense. The court ordered the sentences to run concurrently. Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirement of $Anders \ v$.

California, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. (Tex. Crim. App.1991). As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Frost, and Brown. Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).