

Dismissed and Memorandum Opinion filed August 26, 2010.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-10-00718-CR

NO. 14-10-00719-CR

NO. 14-10-00720-CR

ROBERT RANDALL LONG, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 177th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 1235282, 1216882, 1199409**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

In each of these attempted appeals, the trial court signed an order on July 9, 2010, granting the State’s motion to allow forensic analysis of evidence to continue. “Generally, we only have jurisdiction to consider an appeal by a criminal defendant where there has been a judgment of conviction.” *McKown v. State*, 915 S.W.2d 160, 161 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1996, no pet.). “We do not have jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders unless that jurisdiction has been expressly granted to us by law.” *Id.* (citing *Ex*

parte Apolinar, 820 S.W.2d 792, 794 (Tex.Crim.App.1991)). Although there are exceptions, we are unaware of any authority allowing the interlocutory appeal of an order granting a motion to allow forensic analysis.

On August 5, 2010, the parties were notified that unless a response was filed on or before August 17, 2010, showing meritorious grounds for continuing the appeal, it would be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. No response was filed.

Accordingly, the appeal is ordered dismissed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Seymore, Boyce, and Christopher.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).