

**Dismissed and Memorandum Opinion filed November 13, 2012.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-12-00780-CV**

---

**IN THE MATTER OF D.L.W., a Juvenile**

---

**On Appeal from the 314th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 2012-01196J**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant, a juvenile, filed a notice of appeal on August 20, 2012, stating that he desired to appeal the trial court's order signed August 20, 2012 in cause number 2012-01196J in the 314th District Court. The clerk's record in this appeal was filed October 24, 2012. The record contains the trial court's order signed August 20, 2012, granting the State's motion to nonsuit this cause of action. The order contains the notation that the trial court "certified" appellant in cause numbers 2012-01197J and 2012-01198J, waiving jurisdiction and transferring the causes to the criminal district court. Appellant also

appealed the orders transferring these cases, and the related appeals were assigned to this court under our numbers 14-12-00787-CV and 14-12-00788-CV.<sup>1</sup>

Before a juvenile case is transferred to criminal district court, the rules of civil procedure apply. *See* Tex. Fam. Code § 51.17(a); *Matter of R.G., Jr.*, 865 S.W.2d 504, 508 (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi 1993, no writ). Rule 162 permits dismissal at any time prior to the closing of the plaintiff’s evidence during a trial on the merits. *Id.* (citing Tex. R. Civ. P. 162). Trial had not commenced in this case. A juvenile court may nonsuit one charge against a juvenile pursuant to Rule 162 and transfer the remaining charges to the criminal district court. *See Turner v. State*, 796 S.W.2d 492, 495 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1990, no writ).

Because the cause made the subject of this appeal was nonsuited in the trial court, there is no order from which appellant may appeal. *See UTMB v. Blackmon*, 195 S.W.3d 98, 100-01 (Tex. 2006) (filing of nonsuit has the effect of rendering the merits of the case moot and deprives court of appeals of jurisdiction). On October 29, 2012, notification was transmitted to all parties of this court’s intention to dismiss this appeal for want of jurisdiction unless any party filed a response within ten days demonstrating our jurisdiction over the appeal. No response was filed.

We order the appeal dismissed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Frost, Christopher, and Jamison.

---

<sup>1</sup> The appeals in case numbers 14-12-00778-CV and 14-12-00779-CV are dismissed for want of jurisdiction in a separate opinion and judgment. *See* Tex. Code Crim. Proc. art. 44.47(b); *In re B.T.*, 323 S.W.3d 158, 162 (Tex. 2010).