

Motion Granted; Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed September 10, 2013.



In The
Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-13-00151-CR

CHRISTOPHER NEWBY, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 184th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 1338941**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to aggravated assault with a deadly weapon, enhanced by a prior felony conviction. After a presentence investigation, the trial court sentenced appellant on February 19, 2013, to confinement for fifteen years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a *pro se* response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than sixty days has passed, and no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We need not address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a *pro se* response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Frost, Boyce, and Jamison.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).