

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed September 23, 2014.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

**NO. 14-13-01082-CR
NO. 14-13-01083-CR**

REGINALD DAMON PATTERSON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 10th District Court
Galveston County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 12CR3442 & 12CR3443**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant appeals his convictions for aggravated robbery and possession of a controlled substance. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable

grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

Copies of counsel’s brief and the record were delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than 60 days have passed and no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel’s brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Frost and Justices Christopher and Busby.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).