

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed November 20, 2014.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-14-00606-CV

IN THE INTEREST OF J.R., JR., A CHILD

**On Appeal from the 306th District Court
Galveston County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 13-CP-0042**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant, A.P., appeals a final decree signed July 23, 2014, terminating her parental rights to the child who is the subject of this suit. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). The *Anders* procedures are applicable to an appeal from the termination of parental rights when an appointed

attorney concludes that there are no non-frivolous issues to assert on appeal. *In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d 326, 329 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2004, no pet.).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of her right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d at 329-30. More than forty-five days have elapsed and as of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Frost and Justices Christopher and Busby.