

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed October 13, 2015.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-14-00936-CR

DARRYL KENT AUTHORLEE, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 185th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 240766**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant Darryl Kent Authorlee appeals from the trial court's denial of his motion for post-conviction DNA testing. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by advancing frivolous contentions that might arguably support the appeal. See *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); *Jackson v. State*, 485

S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than 60 days have passed and no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Boyce, Christopher and Busby.

Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).