

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed June 2, 2016.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-15-00800-CR

DHANI TAYLOR, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 184th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 1400168**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant Dhani Taylor appeals the judgment adjudicating guilt for abandonment of a child with intent to return. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief and the record were delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than 60 days have passed, and no pro se response has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief carefully and agree the appeals is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Frost and Justices McCally and Brown.

Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).