

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed October 13, 2016.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-15-00920-CR

MICHAEL LEE HOCUTT, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 239th District Court
Brazoria County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 74947**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant Michael Lee Hocutt appeals his conviction for burglary of a habitation. Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 30.02(a)(3). Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant filed a pro se response to the brief. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991).

We have reviewed the record, counsel's brief, and appellant's pro se response carefully and agree with counsel that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Frost and Justices Boyce and Christopher.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).