

**Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed August 11, 2016.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-15-00959-CR**

---

**JOHN EDWARD THEALL, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 337th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 1460903**

---

**M E M O R A N D U M    O P I N I O N**

Appellant John Edward Theall appeals his conviction for sexual assault of a child between 14 and 17 years of age. Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 22.011(a)(2)(B). Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief and the appellate record was delivered to appellant. Appellant filed a pro se response to the brief. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991).

We have reviewed the record, counsel's brief, and appellant's pro se response carefully and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Frost and Justices Boyce and Christopher.  
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).