

**Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed August 9, 2016.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-15-01064-CR**

---

**GABRIEL MORA, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 182nd District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 1466434**

---

**M E M O R A N D U M    O P I N I O N**

Appellant, Gabriel Mora, appeals his conviction for aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon. Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 29.03. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his rights to view the record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than 60 days have passed, and no pro se response has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief carefully and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Busby, Donovan, and Wise.  
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).